Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/699,011	HOLLAND, WILLIAM D.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BERNARD KRASNIC	2624
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>BERNARD KRASNIC (Examiner)</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. David Collins (Reg. No. 26,857).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 February 2011	Time: <u>12:25pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 19-23		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Bernard Krasnic/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner initiated a telephone interview with the Applicant's representative, Mr. David Collins (Reg. No. 26,857), to propose an Examiner's Amendment in order to expedite prosecution. The Examiner proposed amending a few typographical errors that arose from the amendment filed 6/01/2010. The Examiner proposed amending each of claims 19 and 21-23 to be dependent upon claim 18 instead of upon claim 0. Similarly, the Examiner proposed amending claim 20 to be dependent upon claim 19 instead of upon claim 0. Mr. Collins agreed to such proposed amendments which have been attached to this Office Action.